

SECRET

13 April 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting With [WUSLAB/1] on 10 April 1970

1. Security and Arrangements: The meeting took place at [WUSLAB/1's] home where he is still recuperating from his eye operation. I had to delay my arrival from 1200 until 1230, and I phoned him concerning the delay. He answered the door and we went upstairs where we sat in an upstairs study. I left at about 1400.

2. Production: [WUSLAB/1] said that Jose Raul Viera Linares had phoned him at his home at about 1100 on 8 April. Viera said that a friend had described to him an acquaintance who had had an eye problem similar to [WUSLAB/1] (or words to that effect), and that Viera now realized the seriousness of the case and that [WUSLAB/1] would not be able to travel. Viera said that the chances were now remote that he would be returning to New York. A friend of his named "Rogelio" would contact [WUSLAB/1]. He did not say when or where, but it would be when circumstances permit. [WUSLAB/1] asked if Viera might be assigned to another foreign country. Viera did not deign to answer this question.

3. I asked [WUSLAB/1] what he considered the <sup>24</sup>possibilities of recruiting Viera were. He thought an important factor would be Viera's [liking of comfort<sup>24</sup> and security]. He would not be motivated by money but he did like good living. He also probably did not like living in Havana, and would not like to stay there for a very long period. [W/1] thought that if Viera came out to a country such as Spain that [contact<sup>24</sup> with him] could easily be <sup>24</sup>developed. In the United States Viera was on his guard. Also in the United States Viera did not have high level contacts with the local government, whereas in other countries he would be expected to have such contacts. Some of those contacts might then be also in contact with U.S. officials and you could get a cross-check on Viera. I told [WUSLAB/1] that we would finish the [PCQ<sup>24</sup> form] on Viera the next time we get together.

4. Carlos Antonio Carrasco, the National Director for Education Planning, [visited WUSLAB/1] on [9] April 1970. Carrasco claimed that the Bolivian government is in a period of stalemate. There is progress being made in only two fields. One of these is planning and the other is education. The Minister of Education Mariano Baptista has more power than some of the other ministers.

for WH/S/B

CS COPY

Excluded from automatic downgrading and

19/mc

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

SECRET

- 2 -

The education budget has been increased, and he has some say in the selection of the Ministry of Education's personnel. Even though the military blocked appointments for Maria Elba Gutierrez and Professor Chavez they are still in the ministry; Chavez in the "Instituto Normal" and Maria Elba as Secretary General of the Ministry (the third ranking post in the ministry.) Baptista has good relations with the United States which is helping the education program. The cabinet seems to be rather stable at the moment, although there continues to be pressure to remove Rolon Anaya and Marcelo Quiroga. The latter is a candidate to replace Juan Lechin Oquendo as the secretary general of the union organization. The strongest military man in the government from the standpoint of firmness is Edmundo Valencia, the Minister of National Economy.

5. The civilian cabinet members believe that the United States is happy with them. They think that the military are incapable of running the government. There has been no indication of a <sup>scandal</sup> ~~scandal~~ among the cabinet members. There is also a freeze on Bolivian-US relations. The military continues to be worried because there is not a political party behind the government. Some of the civilians wanted to gain the support of the MNR, but the military did not want to do that.

6. Carrasco gave one example of the military-civilian interplay: The Minister of Education Baptista wanted the education bill signed on 9 April 1970 which is the anniversary of the 1952 revolution. Valencia opposed it because it was the anniversary. Colonel Juan Ayoroa continues to be opposed to the return of MNR people to the government.

7. Hernan Siles when he was in Bolivia saw Losada, Baptista, and twice saw Ovando. The reason for his removal from the country is as follows: Arellano denounced Siles to General Torres and gave him some written material on a plot. Torres then announced that there was a plot set for 9 April. Colonel Ayoroa called Siles in and said that he had to leave Bolivia within four days. The government wanted him out of the country on 9 April. Ayoroa told Siles that he could return to Bolivia on 10 April. Carrasco received the above story from Siles to whom he talked many times. Ovando believes that Siles is no longer interested in governmental power. He is interested in the MNR, and he does want to destroy the political power of Victor Paz Estenssoro. While in Bolivia Siles alienated Serate-Reich, Arellano, etc. Siles attributes to Arellano his betrayal to the government.

SECRET

