

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally
known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 12012447CI-011

HEATHER CLEM, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT GAWKER MEDIA, LLC

Defendant Gawker Media, LLC (“Gawker”) answers plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) by denying each and every allegation except those expressly admitted herein. Further responding to the specific numbered paragraphs in the FAC, Gawker states:

NATURE OF THIS ACTION

1. Gawker admits that it posted on www.gawker.com (the “Gawker Site”) a report and commentary (the “Gawker Story”) about a video depicting plaintiff Terry Gene Bollea professionally known as “Hulk Hogan” (“plaintiff”) conversing and engaging in sexual relations with Heather Clem (the “Video”), together with 101 seconds of excerpts from the Video (the “Excerpts”). The text of the Gawker Story and the contents of the Excerpts speak for themselves. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 1, and on that basis denies those allegations. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 are denied.

2. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 2 that “Mr. Bollea had no knowledge that the intimate activity depicted in the Video was being recorded” or that “Mr. Bollea believed that such activity was completely

private,” and on that basis denies those allegations. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 are denied.

3. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to allegations in Paragraph 3 concerning “Clem’s secret recording” of plaintiff, and on that basis denies those allegations. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 3 are denied.

4. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to allegations in Paragraph 4 concerning what Mr. Bollea “is informed and believes” and whether any “activities” of Clem violated Fla. Stat. § 810.45, and on that basis denies those allegations. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 4 are denied.

5. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 5, and on that basis denies those allegations. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 5 are denied.

6. Denied.

JURISDICTION

7. Admitted.

8. Admitted that the Court has personal jurisdiction over defendants Gawker Media, LLC, A.J. Daulerio, and Nick Denton. Admitted, upon information and belief, that the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Heather Clem. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 8 are denied.

9. Admitted that venue is proper in this Court. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 9, including without limitation that any claims properly accrued within this circuit or otherwise, are denied.

PARTIES

10. Admitted, upon information and belief.

11. Admitted, upon information and belief.

12. Admitted.

13. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., because it has been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

14. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Entertainment, LLC, because it has been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

15. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Technology, LLC, because it has been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

16. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Sales, LLC, because it has been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

17. Gawker admits that Gawker Media, LLC is 100% owned by Gawker Media Group, Inc. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, or Gawker Sales, LLC, or other allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., because each of those entities has been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

18. Admitted that Blogwire Hungary Szellelemi Alkotast Hasznosito KFT, now known as “Kinja KFT” (hereinafter “Kinja”), is a Hungarian entity that owns the rights to the Internet domain name gawker.com. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 18 are denied.

19. Admitted that plaintiff refers in the FAC to “Defendants Gawker Media, LLC, Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC, Gawker Media

Group, Inc., and Blogwire Hungary” Szellemi Alkotast Hasznosito KFT collectively as “Gawker Media.” Denied that there exists any basis for treating these separate entities as a single group.

20. Admitted that Gawker Media, LLC owns, operates, controls and publishes Internet websites, including the Gawker Site, which make content available via the Internet. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 20 are denied.

21. Admitted that Nick Denton (a) was and is a citizen of the United Kingdom and is a resident and domiciliary of the State of New York for jurisdictional purposes, and (b) is a founder of Gawker Media, LLC and Kinja. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, or Gawker Sales, LLC because those entities have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 21 are denied.

22. Admitted that A.J. Daulerio was and is a citizen, resident and domiciliary of the State of New York and that, at the time of the publication of the Gawker Story, he was the Editor-in-Chief of the Gawker Site. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 22 are denied.

23. No response is required to allegations concerning Kate Bennert because she has been dismissed from the case, and on this basis such allegations are denied.

24. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 24 are denied.

FACTS GIVING RISE TO THE CLAIMS

25. Gawker admits, upon information and belief, the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 25. Gawker denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 25.

26. Admitted that plaintiff engaged in, and was filmed having, sexual relations with Heather Clem. Otherwise, Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the remaining allegations in paragraph 26, and on that basis denies those allegations.

27. Admitted that Defendants Gawker Media, LLC and A.J. Daulerio received a copy of the Video and prepared the Gawker Story and the Excerpts, each of which speaks for itself. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegation that the Video obtained “based on the actions of Clem and others.” All remaining allegations in Paragraph 27 are denied.

28. Admitted that on or about October 4, 2012, Gawker Media, LLC published the Gawker Story and the Excerpts, each of which speaks for itself; that A.J. Daulerio wrote and edited the Gawker Story; that, at Daulerio’s direction, Bennert edited the Video to create the Excerpts; and that plaintiff demanded Gawker and Denton to remove the Excerpts from the Gawker Site. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 28 are denied.

29. Gawker is without sufficient information to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis denies those allegations.

30. Admitted that other media outlets and websites reported on the Video and/or linked to the Gawker Story and the Excerpts. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 30 are denied.

31. Denied.

32. Gawker is without sufficient information to respond to the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis denies those allegations.

33. Denied.

34. Denied.

35. Denied.

36. This paragraph states legal conclusions, so no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the allegations of Paragraph 36 are denied.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy by Intrusion Upon Seclusion Against Defendant Heather Clem)

37. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

38. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

39. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

40. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

41. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

42. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

43. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

44. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

45. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

46. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

47. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

48. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Publication of Private Facts Against Defendant Heather Clem)

49. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

50. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

51. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

52. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

53. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

54. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

55. No response is required to allegations purporting to assert a cause of action only against defendant Heather Clem, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Publication of Private Facts as Against the Gawker Defendants)

56. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

57. Admitted that Gawker published the Gawker Story and the Excerpts, each of which speaks for itself. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 57, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

58. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegation that plaintiff “had no knowledge of, and did not consent to, the recording” of his sexual activity, and on that basis denies those allegations. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 58, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

59. Admitted that Gawker Media, LLC published the Gawker Story and the Excerpts, each of which speaks for itself, and that plaintiff did not explicitly authorize the publication of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegation that plaintiff did not consent to the “use, distribution or exploitation” of the Video by “any other persons or entities,” and on that basis denies such allegations. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker

Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on this basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 59, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

60. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 60, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

61. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 61, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

62. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 62, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

63. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. No response is required to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order

and/or preliminary injunction enjoining the distribution, dissemination and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). All remaining allegations in Paragraph 63, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

64. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 64, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

65. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on this basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 65, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy by Intrusion Upon Seclusion Against the Gawker Defendants)

66. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

67. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

All remaining allegations in Paragraph 67, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

68. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge information to respond to the allegation that plaintiff “had no knowledge of, and did not consent to, the recording or dissemination of” his sexual activity, and on that basis denies that allegation. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 68, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

69. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 69, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

70. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 70, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

71. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

All remaining allegations in Paragraph 71, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

72. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 72, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

73. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. No response is required to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction enjoining the distribution, dissemination and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). All remaining allegations in Paragraph 73, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

74. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 74, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

75. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert

because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 75, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Florida Common Law Right of Publicity Against Gawker Defendants)

76. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

77. Gawker admits, upon information and belief, the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 77. Gawker denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 77. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge information to respond to the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis denies those allegations.

78. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 78, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

79. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 79, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

80. Admitted that that plaintiff requested that Gawker and Denton remove the Gawker Story and the Excerpts from the Gawker Site and that the Gawker Story remains

available on the Gawker Site. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. No response is required to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction enjoining the publication, distribution, dissemination and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). All remaining allegations in Paragraph 80, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

81. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 81, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

82. This paragraph states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that response to this paragraph is required, Gawker denies all the allegations therein.

83. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 83, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

84. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert

because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 84, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Against All Defendants)

85. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

86. Admitted that that plaintiff requested that Gawker and Denton remove the Gawker Story and the Excerpts from the Gawker Site and that the Gawker Story remains available on the Gawker Site. Gawker is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 86, and on that basis denies them. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on this basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 86, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

87. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 87, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

88. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert

because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 88, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

89. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 89, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

90. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 90, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

91. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. No response is required to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction enjoining the distribution, dissemination and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, and mandating the delivery of copies of, and transfer of rights to, the Video, Gawker Story and the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). All remaining allegations in Paragraph 91, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

92. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 92, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

93. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 93, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress Against All Defendants)

94. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

95. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 95, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

96. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

All remaining allegations in Paragraph 96, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

97. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 97, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

98. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 98, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

99. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. No response is required to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction enjoining the distribution, dissemination and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, and mandating the delivery of copies of, and transfer of rights to, the Video, Gawker Story and the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). All remaining allegations in Paragraph 99, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of section 934.10, Florida Statutes Against All Defendants)

100. Gawker repeats and incorporates by reference its response to the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

101. Gawker is without sufficient information to respond to the allegation that plaintiff “did not know about, nor consent to, the taping of the activity depicted” in the Video or “its publication or dissemination,” and on that basis denies those allegations. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 101, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

102. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 102, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

103. Admitted that Defendant Gawker Media, LLC published the Gawker Story and the Excerpts, each of which speaks for itself. Gawker is without sufficient information to respond to the allegation that Defendant Heather Clem “disclosed or caused to be disclosed to third parties the contents of” the Video, and on that basis denies that allegation. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 103, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

104. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 104, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

105. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 105, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

106. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied. No response is required to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction enjoining the distribution, dissemination and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, and mandating the delivery of copies of, and transfer of rights to, the Video, Gawker Story and the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014). All remaining allegations in Paragraph 106, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

107. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on that basis such allegations are denied.

All remaining allegations in Paragraph 107, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

108. No response is required to allegations concerning Gawker Media Group, Inc., Gawker Entertainment, LLC, Gawker Technology, LLC, Gawker Sales, LLC or Kate Bennert because they have been dismissed from the case, and on this basis such allegations are denied. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 108, including to the extent such allegations state legal conclusions as to which no response is required, are denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Gawker denies that plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in his “prayer for relief,” or in the paragraph beginning with the word “WHEREFORE,” including without limitation any of the relief enumerated in subparagraphs 1 through 8. In particular, no response is required to plaintiff’s claim that he is entitled to a preliminary injunction enjoining, *inter alia*, the distribution, dissemination, publication, display, posting, broadcasting, and/or use of the Gawker Story and/or the Excerpts, as that issue has already been adjudicated against him. *See Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea*, 129 So. 3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014).

DEFENSES

Gawker asserts the following defenses without admitting that it bears the burden of persuasion or presentation of evidence on each or any of these matters.

1. Plaintiff’s FAC fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
2. The publication of the Gawker Story and the Excerpts is protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and Art. I, Sec. 4 of the Florida Constitution.

3. Plaintiff's claim for injunctive relief fails to allege, and plaintiff is unable to establish, the essential elements of a legal right to the requested relief and/or that an injunction would serve the public interest.

4. Gawker and the other Gawker Defendants are entitled to monetary relief, whether as a setoff or otherwise, for costs, fees, and damages, including attorneys' fees, incurred as a result of the preliminary injunction previously entered in this case and reversed by the District Court of Appeal.

5. The publication of the Gawker Story and the Excerpts related to a matter of public concern.

6. The publication of the Gawker Story and the Excerpts was not "highly offensive."

7. The content of the Gawker Story and the Excerpts did not include facts that were private or maintained as private by plaintiff.

8. Plaintiff did not have a reasonable expectation of privacy.

9. Gawker did not record or participate in recording the Video, and thus cannot be liable for intrusion upon seclusion.

10. Gawker did not record or participate in recording the Video, and thus cannot be liable for violation of Section 934.10 of the Florida Statutes.

11. The use of plaintiff's name and image in the Gawker Story and Excerpts was not "commercial," and thus Gawker cannot be liable for violation of the right of publicity.

12. The use of plaintiff's name and image in the Gawker Story and Excerpts was not for the purpose of promoting a product or service, and thus Gawker cannot be liable for violation of the right of publicity.

13. Gawker did not publish the Gawker Story or the Excerpts with actual malice. Consequently, and because plaintiff is a public figure, plaintiff cannot recover against Gawker.

14. Gawker's conduct was not outrageous and thus plaintiff cannot recover against Gawker for intentional infliction of emotional distress.

15. Gawker did not intentionally or negligently cause plaintiff emotional distress and/or mental anguish.

16. Plaintiff did not suffer any actual injury.

17. Any emotional distress and/or mental anguish suffered by plaintiff was not severe.

18. Plaintiff did not suffer physical injury and thus cannot recover against Gawker for negligent infliction of emotional distress.

19. Gawker did not cause any injury or damages to plaintiff, and plaintiff cannot recover against Gawker for injuries caused by Defendant Heather Clem or by any other party, including himself.

20. Plaintiff's claim for damages is barred, reduced, or limited by his failure to mitigate his damages.

21. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

22. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of implied consent.

WHEREFORE, Gawker prays for judgment as follows:

1. That plaintiff takes nothing on his FAC and that the same be dismissed with prejudice;

2. For Gawker's costs of suit;

3. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: May 27, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS & LOCICERO PL

By: /s/ Gregg D. Thomas

Gregg D. Thomas

Florida Bar No.: 223913

Rachel E. Fugate

Florida Bar No.: 0144029

601 South Boulevard

P.O. Box 2602 (33601)

Tampa, FL 33606

Telephone: (813) 984-3060

Facsimile: (813) 984-3070

gthomas@tlolawfirm.com

rfugate@tlolawfirm.com

Seth D. Berlin

Pro Hac Vice Number: 103440

Michael Berry

Pro Hac Vice Number: 108191

Alia L. Smith

Pro Hac Vice Number: 104249

Paul J. Safier

Pro Hac Vice Number: 103437

Julie B. Ehrlich

Pro Hac Vice Number: 108190

LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, LLP

1899 L Street, NW, Suite 200

Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: (202) 508-1122

Facsimile: (202) 861-9888

sberlin@lskslaw.com

mberry@lskslaw.com

asmith@lskslaw.com

psafier@lskslaw.com

jehrich@lskslaw.com

Counsel for Gawker Media, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 27th day of May 2014, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served via the Florida Courts' E-Filing Portal upon the following counsel of record:

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq.
kturkel@BajoCuva.com
Christina K. Ramirez, Esq.
cramirez@BajoCuva.com
Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 1900
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel: (813) 443-2199
Fax: (813) 443-2193

David Houston, Esq.
Law Office of David Houston
dhouston@houstonatlaw.com
432 Court Street
Reno, NV 89501
Tel: (775) 786-4188

Charles J. Harder, Esq.
charder@HMAfirm.com
Douglas E. Mirell
dmirell@HMAfirm.com
Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP
1925 Century Park East, Suite 800
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (424) 203-1600
Fax: (424) 203-1601

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Barry A. Cohen, Esq.
bcohen@tampalawfirm.com
Michael W. Gaines, Esq.
mgaines@tampalawfirm.com
Barry A. Cohen Law Group
201 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 1000
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel: (813) 225-1655
Fax: (813) 225-1921

Attorneys for Defendant Heather Clem

/s/ Gregg D. Thomas
Attorney