THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

# THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

HTTP://WWW BLACKVAULT COM

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!

## A CATALORE AND PARTILA ANALYSIS OF CURRENT CHITICISK OF THE CIA-WEAR RELATIONSHIP

Co. Change and the Control of the Co

1. The following is a categorization of the various reasons that have been put forward in the press for branding the CIA/VIA relationship . "improver," i "imporal," "destructive," in sto. The accusations are erranged according to what aspect of the relationship they attack, and a very brief idea of the logic for (?) behind then is given. It is important to note that/admin much of the charges are based on the me assumption that CTA "controlled" NEA.

## A. CLA "EUEVERTED" NEA:

- 1. It is charged, usually on the basis of Sam BRURN's statements to the press, that CLA took over WA and used it; buting
  - (a) as an MARM CF THE STATE DEPARTMENT which is considered improper since MSA presented itself was both to the world and to its membership as an independent private organization.
  - (b) as an "INTELLIGENCE GENERING UNIT" which is apparently considered immoral because the students used the appeal of their "innocence,". "idealism," and independence to worm. their way into the confidence of their foreign counterparts.
- 2. "IMPROPER OFFERMIONAL METHINS" were allegedly used by CIA in "controlling" NSA:
  - following (a) "CONTROLOW": It is charged that SIA used the/implied or explicit threatas . "
    - I. imprisonment for violation of secrecy agreement
    - II. withdrawal of draft deferments
    - III. charactor assassination
    - IV. surveillance and "bugging"
    - Y. moreomizedam i ruining of careers within the "Establishment."

(b) "HPIRERY": Old de charged with:

I. psying witting people salary supplements to assume their comperation.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE II. procuring draft deferments for withing people

9:

III. opening the way for high-lavel government careers in return for "selling out" their constituency.

(c) "INFILIRATION": Two charges can be placed here:

- I. that it was improper for CIA in 2 NSA leaders to cooperate while the mass of the constituency was kept in the dark.
- II. that CIA INCURSED THE ELECTIONS A POLICIES OF NS (A Wash. Fost article refers to CIA circors bet massigned this duty.) thus interfering in domestic affairs.

#### B. "SECRET PELATICISHIPS WITH THE GOVERNMENT ARE INCORRECT

- 1. It is charged that it is immoral for an official of a private organization with a democratic base to enter into a searst relationship with the government. To do this is to violate the trust of the membership and to grantal compromise gravely the independence of the organization. It is "anti-democratic" since the leadership is ignoring the people it is responsible to.
- 2. It is charged that it is immoral for the government to become involved in controlling or heavily influencing private groups without letting the public know it is doing so. When this happens, members of the group, or consumers of the product (in radio or publishing, for example) are being deceived as to the true nature of what they are paying for or participating in. This is held to be particularly true in the propagating of ideas (policy of org.s, publishing, etc.) since unless openness is required, the government is authorized to use black propagating against its our people.

## C. "SECRET FELATICHERIPS EXCLUSER A TREE ESCIETT"

1. It is charged that (CL) service commence-substituting of private

C00819130

organizations endangers the openness of American society. The reasoning is that democracy requires that independent voluntary organizations propagate conflicting views and provide the necessary.

It is assumed that counterbalance to the power of the State./CIA would not subsidize these groups unless it received in return some influence over their phlicy, and thus the subsidies jeopardize the interplay of free institutions.

- 2. It is charged that even granting that the subsidies were effective from a Gold War viewpoint, and that nothing was actually done by CIA to endanger free institutions, the precedent of government operat interference with donestic organizations is amallingly dangerous. It is charged that a government with the will to suppress dissent and create a "totalitarian" society could and would use exactly the same means employed by CIA in this case. Thus there should be an absolute prohibition on this kind of activity.
- 3. The academic community is up in arms because of the revelation of subsidies to a group (MSA) which is assumed to have some kind of academic character. It is marged that CIA is perverting the process of the search for truth by using student and cultural organizations for political ends. The reasoning is that students are, by definition, engaged in the search for truth. MSA is a student organization and so must also be prinarilly engaged in the searche CIA has been using NSA in pursuit of power, influence, and intelligence, all three of which often conflict with pursuit of pure knowledge. Thus CIA's activities are an attack on academic freedom and the integrity of American scholars.

ex

### D. "CIA CAUCHT HEA LEADERS IN AN ETHICAL TRAP"

- adopting "totalitarian means to fight totalitarianism," and
  thus of having embraced the idea that the "ends justify the
  means," It is charged that the American and intermational
  organizations which were subsidized by CIA were inherently
  similar to the communist organizations they sought to combat,
  since both were secretly controlled and subsidized by mak
  governments bent on influencing the masses of students and youth.
  CIA and its collaborators were ingaging in exactly the same
  manipulation, deception and control which they condenn in the East,
  and therefore, it is argued, what they have done against communism
  is no better than communism itself.
- 2. MSA leaders were placed by CIA in an agonizing position from which there was no escape. On the one hand, they were bound by an oath of secrecy and told that what they were doing was in the highest national interest. On the other, they were asked to do things which were Schongerin "despicable and immoral." Thus they were caught between the "dishonorable" and "unpatriotic" alternative of denounting the CIA's interference, and the equally immoral one of collaborating. (The various intermediate alternatives are simply ingered in this argument.)
- 3. It is charged (especially by Ramparts) that the liberal idealien of young student leaders was dynically manipulated by GIA operative: who told the students that what they were doing would contribute to "internationalism" at home and abroad. They were allowed to

C00819130

EXPLOYED THE

carry out their liberal and pro-nationalist activities because the CTA wished to gain access to and influence over these groups to further the larger "reactionary" aims of US foreign policy.

Thus the student leaders were "dupes" of the "Establishment," which used their best quality— INVIII beral idealish— in the service of sordid government ambitions.

KY

## E. "CIA HAS DONE TRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO AMERICAN INSTITUTE CHAP

1. It is charged that the pall of doubt cast on all private voluntary organizations (including the National Scuncil of Chruches, etc.) by the revelation of covert GII subsidies has gravely endangered the quality of American life. Since all denestic institutions are in doubt, a orisis of confidence in the American vay must

inovitably occur.

It is charged that

2. /The work of American organizations and individuals abroad has been seriously compromised. Both the good (non-subsidized) and the bad are now suspect, and Americans will no longer have free access to the confidence of foreigners. The good work of American private organizations in giving a balanced, independent image of the United States has been destroyed. Americans, students and professors especially, will no longer be regarded as "innocents abroad."

#### P. WIT SHOULD NEVER PATE BREW ALLOWED TO HAPPEN IN THE FIRST PLACEM

1. It is very often argued that private organizations operating abroad should have received public subsidies, since they were C00819130

fighting occurration. It is argued that the immorality and disastrous consequences of secret subsidies could have been avoided by having Congress publicly allocate support. Some arguments recognize that it would have been difficult to percuade Congress to note that for this pumpose, money, and that the political learnings of many of the groups involved were far too left to be acceptable to Congress. These critics argue that the problem should have been met head on, and that the failure to do so has resulted in "addiction" to covert action and blindness to the moral issues.

- 2. It is argued that if secret subsidies were to be given, they should have gone through some other arm of the government. It is argued that the CIA is so assessed in the more violent and questionable aspects of the Cold War, and is so much the universal scapegoat abroad, that refelation of its role with private groups was bound to do immeasurable damage to everyone concerned. Alternative government agencies with the capacity for covert funding area not usually mentioned.
- 3. It is often argued that however justifiable the covert funding af private organizations may have been at the height of the Cold War, such subsidies should have been terminated "long ago." The reasoning here is that covert action was justified in a bipolar world in which the Soviet Union's international front activities posed a major danger to the Free World. Since the Bloc has split, and a large number of different ideologies and nationalisms are struggling for influence over the uncoradited, covert subsidies have become unnecessary. The interence is that it was the immediate danger to national security which justified Strong appresence aborad of private voluntary organizations.