Raytheon Blackbird Technologies

20150821-263-NMehta Theories on Persistence

For

SIRIUS Task Order PIQUE

Submitted to:

U.S. Government

Submitted by:

Raytheon Blackbird Technologies, Inc.

13900 Lincoln Park Drive Suite 400 Herndon, VA 20171

21 August 2015

This document includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed—in whole or in part—for any purpose other than to evaluate this concept. If, however, a contract is awarded to Blackbird as a result of—or in connection with—the submission of these data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in these data if they are obtained from another source without restriction.

This document contains commercial or financial information, or trade secrets, of Raytheon Blackbird Technologies, Inc. that are confidential and exempt from disclosure to the public under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4), and unlawful disclosure thereof is a violation of the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905. Public disclosure of any such information or trade secrets shall not be made without the prior written permission of Raytheon Blackbird Technologies, Inc.

SECRET//NOFORN

(U) Table of Contents

1.0 ((U)	Analysis Summary	1
2.0 ((U)	Description of the Technique	2
3.0 ((U)	Identification of Affected Applications	2
4.0 ((U)	Related Techniques	2
5.0 ((U)	Configurable Parameters	2
6.0 ((U)	Exploitation Method and Vectors	2
7.0 ((U)	Caveats	2
8.0 ((U)	Risks	2
9.0 ((U)	Recommendations	2

1.0 (U) Analysis Summary

(S//NF) This summarizes what appears to be a briefing slide deck on persistence. The content of the briefing deck is truly a mixed bag of basic generalized persistence techniques, fairly sophisticated persistence methods, and theoretical persistence methods that are complex in the extreme. The latter category pushes the scope of effort to research and implement beyond PoC recommendations. The middle category, fairly sophisticated persistence methods, holds some promise for multiple PoC recommendations but we recommend we convene a specific meeting of the Pique team to discuss and triage each, which include:

- File replacement replace autostart service .DLL in registry, then on start-up actively proxy invocations of DllMain() and ServiceMain(). Or replace existing COM control in HKCR\CLSID\{GUID}\InprocServer32, subclass the control's methods, and proxy
- File displacement rather than replace a file on disk, modify a registry key (ServiceDll, InprocServer32 default value, or equivalent), the proxy instantiation
- File displacement via loader preference (Windows .DLL search order), .DLL search order favors the local directory over system32. The shell (explorer.exe) is in C:\Windows, not system32.
- Other subsystems to consider:
 - Print spooler drivers
 - Winlogon, LSA, Crypto providers, and authentication providers
 - .NET assemblies
 - Input method editors
 - Sidebar gadgets
 - MIME types, and protocol handlers
 - Plug-ins
- Subsystems with their own stacks:
 - Windows messages
 - Image codecs
 - Directshow filters
 - WFP drivers
 - Filesytem filters
 - Any driver with IRP_MJ handlers

(S//NF) We recommend a specific meeting be called to discuss each of these approaches to persistence to determine which makes most sense for PoC development consideration as all have potential.

Raytheon Blackbird Technologies, Inc.
 1
 21 August 2015

 Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restrictions on the title page of this document.

2.0 (U) Description of the Technique

(S//NF) The techniques to discuss and consider for PoC development revolve predominantly around file replacement/displacement and proxying the original functionality once substituted.

3.0 (U) Identification of Affected Applications

(U) Windows primarily, but not exclusively.

4.0 (U) Related Techniques

(S//NF) Persistence.

5.0 (U) Configurable Parameters

(U) Varied.

6.0 (U) Exploitation Method and Vectors

(S//NF) No exploitation methods or attack vectors were discussed in this report.

7.0 (U) Caveats

(U) None.

8.0 (U) Risks

(S//NF) TBD depending on which methods, if any, are recommended for PoC development.

9.0 (U) Recommendations

(S//NF) We recommend a specific meeting be called to discuss each of these approaches to persistence to determine which makes most sense for PoC development consideration as all have potential.